



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/040,886  | 01/07/2002      | Matthew R. Parker    | QATEC-001AX             | 1511             |
| 207   | 7590 03/17/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP<br>TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE |                 |                      | JACKSON, STEPHEN W      |                  |
| BOSTON, M   |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                 |                      | 2836                    |                  |
|   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004 | 4                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Augliostion No.   | A  |             |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | - •         |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summan  | 10/040,886  | PARKER ET AL.  |             |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |             |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a  | Stephen W Jackson   | 2836   |             |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | opears on the cover sheet while   | ) the correspondence addi  | 'ess        |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).                      | I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI- tte, cause the application to become ABAI   | oly be timely filed<br>(30) days will be considered timely.<br>HS from the mailing date of this com<br>NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | munication. |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> .  | January 2002.   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th  | is action is non-final.   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |             |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.  | 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |             |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  | awn from consideration.   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |             |  |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. |  |             |  |  |  |
|   | EXAMINITAL NOTE THE ATTACHOR'S  | JIIICE ACTION OF TORREST   | - IJZ.      |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1)   | 4) ☐ Interview Sur  | mmary (PTO-413)  |             |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/l   | Mail Date  ormal Patent Application (PTO-1   | 52)         |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2836

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No.6,570,399. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because differences between the two sets of claims are minor design variations well within the abilities of persons of ordinary skill in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen W Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-2051. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-3:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571-272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,886 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SWJackson

March 3, 2004

STEPHEN W. JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Stephen is Jackson 3-3-04